Family Name	Daniels
Given Name	Nic
Person ID	1286964
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Daniels
Given Name	Nic
Person ID	1286964
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details	It is extremely disappointing that the plan to build over 1000 new houses north of Mosley Common is still included in the proposals despite hundreds

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

of why you consider the of objections by local residents. I am in complete agreement with James Grundy, MP for Leigh in that this proposal is completely unsuitable in that it will not only wipe out the beautiful green spaces surrounding Mosley Common but will also bring with it hundreds more vehicles on our roads, causing increased air pollution and adding to the already congested traffic situation. I believe the proposals are in complete contradiction with GM"s Clean Air Plan and that the additional traffic congestion will not only inconvenience local drivers but will also make local buses unreliable due to increased traffic. The current situation on Mosley Common road is already totally unacceptable - not only is standing traffic a daily occurrence on the journey through Mosley Common to the East Lancs but I am also very concerned of the increased risk of traffic accidents following the very sad passing of a local youth several months ago at the top of Mosley Common at the junction to the guided busway. The road through Mosley Common is also extremely sensitive to additional traffic owing to the position of St. John's primary and the choking of the road by double parked vehicles owing to the lack of parking. As a lifetime friend of Mosley Common I am also very disturbed that this proposal continues to blur the lines between this historically important village and other local areas, eroding its unique identity. I was particularly alarmed to learn of the proposals to develop the area immediately surrounding Garrett Hall, a beautiful and historically significant 17th century listed building. The recent fire at Garrett Hall has only cemented in the minds of local residents the importance of preserving the farmhouse and surrounding areas for future generations and not in the form of a centrepiece for a modern housing estate. The loss of green space is not only detrimental to the wellbeing of local residents and those from further afield on longer walks along the route of the guided busway but will severely impact local wildlife, damaging habitats, diversity and metacommunity dynamics.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect
of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.

I would like to protest in the strongest possible terms about the approach GMCA has taken to consultation - I believe that it has deliberately been made overly complex to respond to the proposals to the point of serving to deter residents from being able to register their views. The website is not simple to navigate, especially for those using mobile phones or tablets and, especially for those more vulnerable members of our community, is not conducive to reaching a fair and complete perspective of the views of the local community. I therefore believe the proposals to be both illegal in the consultation has not been carried out effectively and unsound in that they are completely unsuitable for the local area.